



## **PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT**

**2025**

**Delancey**

Generated 24-11-2025

# About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment.

It was developed with investors, for investors. PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders. This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2025 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

## Disclaimers

### Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

### Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

### Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2025 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)	7
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	9
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	19
REAL ESTATE (RE)	37
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	52

# SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

## SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

#### Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

We have the fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of our clients, which is why we integrate ESG factors into our investment, development, and asset management process. Beyond our fiduciary duty, we have the responsibility and opportunity to influence numerous environmental and social aspects through our investment and asset management approach. The real estate industry is known for its emissions intensity and impact on communities and individuals, and therefore we believe it is our responsibility to analyse, consider, and act on ESG risks, opportunities, and impacts as a core part of the work that we do.

We look at Responsible Investment through four pillars: value creation, risk mitigation, positive contribution and investor alignment. In other words, our Responsible Investment strategy encompasses ESG integration and asset level improvements, stewardship and advocacy, ESG upskilling, reporting and regulatory compliance, as well as aligning with investors' ESG needs (e.g., specific exclusion guidelines). We have set commitments on Net Zero Carbon as well as asset specific sustainability action plans and targets for our development projects that contain more detailed commitments. We will continue to define our commitments as projects advance, the regulatory environment evolves, and new technologies emerge.

## Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
  - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
  - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
  - collaborative engagements
  - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Climate change related physical and transition risks, and mitigation opportunities remain the most material considerations to our organisation. During the reporting year, we have progressed this topic through several workstreams: (1) Skills and education – to improve employee knowledge with training to learn more specifically about decarbonisation, ESG regulations and renewable energy solutions; (2) Investment process - all potential investments are evaluated for their physical climate risk exposure and energy efficiency performance among other factors before the investment decision is made; (3) Asset Management - all assets are implementing sustainability action plans as part of the business plans, which includes improvements to reduce the energy intensity and emissions of the asset, while we progress the setting of Net Zero targets across assets under management; (4) Development - Whole Life Carbon assessments are carried out for refurbishment and development projects, within their Sustainable Development Brief, and the recommendations are considered and actioned where practicable and achievable to minimise emissions to reduce embodied carbon; (5) Corporate Footprint - we are measuring our corporate Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions, disclosing them and have made the results available to all staff. The emissions reduction strategy is under development; (6) Industry Collaboration - we signed the British Property Federation's Net Zero Carbon pledge, participated in GRESB and are collaborating with other industry organisations such as the Investment Property Forum, BusinessLDN, Real Estate Balance, and the Diversity Project.

During the reporting period we have continued to act on our belief that sustainability is fundamental in the pursuit of positive economic, environmental and social outcomes. The year saw progress on energy performance with improved energy efficiency with a higher percentage of assets with EPC ratings B or above (66.2%). Detailed mapping of energy and water meters has been undertaken to improve granularity, accuracy and coverage of consumption data. Furthermore, automation of data for landlord energy supplies has ensured good data coverage and timeliness of data collection to meet reporting requirements going forward. This will provide greater insight into performance at both asset and Fund level. In undertaking our office refurbishment, we achieved a BREEAM Outstanding certification. In 2024 we continued the work to formalise our approach to Modern Slavery. We onboarded an AI software, Auquan, that helps us monitor controversial business involvement of tenants and flags any concerns, specifically in relation to Modern Slavery. We conducted our 4th employee engagement survey, achieving a 100% response rate and an 82% engagement index. We donated £172,198 to and raised £24,855 for organisations supporting mental health, education, young people and the arts. Several employees have supported the Academy of Real Assets, which is a social enterprise connecting the real estate industry with students at UK state schools. The engagement is to highlight the opportunity of careers in real estate and real assets to students across the UK. This is carried out via workshops, career fairs and mock interview days. We also took part in The Diversity Projects working group that oversaw Professor Alex Edmans' research on cognitive diversity and the impact on investment team performance. We were also pleased to maintain 4/5 stars on all modules reported on in our second PRI disclosures last year.

## Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In the next two years, as detailed in our most relevant and material issue response, we will continue to focus on commitments, targets and implementation of action plans, monitoring and disclosing our progress towards decarbonisation and Net Zero goals. We continue our pursuit of actual asset performance data in order to provide informed investment advice to our clients. Energy data has largely been automated across our portfolios but the collation of water meter data and collection of waste streams data remains an area of improvement. We are also working on an approach to implement shadow carbon pricing to our decarbonisation strategy and will be able to report on progress in due course. We understand the value of a robust data collection and measurement function to inform, justify, and demonstrate the sustainability initiatives across assets.

Consequently, our efforts continue to be targeted towards that outcome. Our work on physical climate risk exposure will continue as we build on our asset level exposure assessments, and turn our focus towards more detailed site specific information that feeds into asset level Sustainability Action Plans. These findings need to be evident and visible for future planning of asset strategies. In a similar vein, we have started working on formulating a nature strategy by mapping the natural attributes that exist at assets to quantify and better understand the opportunities that exist to advance nature positive actions. Progress within the industry of a standardised approach to reporting social impacts is taking time and the formulation of our framework continues.

Grass roots work social initiatives are progressing, with activity across community engagement, philanthropy, and volunteering to seek impacts within the local communities of our assets. Collaboration remains central to our approach, as we actively engage with industry peers, and in particular, our platform businesses, to share insights and learn from their experiences. With our stakeholders, we aim to foster constructive, mutually beneficial dialogues and seek opportunities for alignment on activities that support our shared objectives.

#### Section 4. Endorsement

**'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.**

Name

Dan Berger

Position

Chief Investment Officer (CIO)

Organisation's Name

Delancey

A

**'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.**

B

# OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)

## OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

### OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

**During the reporting year, to which international or regional ESG-related legislation(s) and/or regulation(s) did your organisation report?**

- (A) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [European Union]
- (B) Directive on AIFM (2011/61/EU) [European Union]
- (C) Enhancing climate-related disclosures by asset managers, life insurers and FCA-regulated pension providers (PS21/24) [United Kingdom]
- (D) EU Taxonomy Regulation [European Union]
- (E) Improving shareholder engagement and increasing transparency around stewardship (PS19/13) [United Kingdom]
- (F) IORP II (Directive 2016/2341) [European Union]
- (G) Law on Energy and Climate (Article 29) [France]
- (H) MiFID II (2017/565) [European Union]
- (I) Modern Slavery Act [United Kingdom]
- (J) PEPP Regulation (2019/1238) [European Union]
- (K) PRIIPS Regulation (2016/2340 and 2014/286) [European Union]
- (L) Regulation on the Integration of Sustainability Risks in the Governance of Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (2021/1256) [European Union]
- (M) SFDR Regulation (2019/2088) [European Union]
- (N) SRD II (Directive 2017/828) [European Union]
- (O) The Occupational Pension Schemes Regulation on Climate Change Governance and Reporting [United Kingdom]
- (P) Climate Risk Management (Guideline B-15) [Canada]
- (Q) Continuous Disclosure Obligations (National Instrument 51-102) [Canada]
- (R) Disposiciones de Carácter General Aplicables a los Fondos de Inversión y a las Personas que les Prestan Servicios (SIEFORE) [Mexico]
- (S) Instrucciones para la Integración de Doctores ASG en Los Mecanismos de Revelación de Información para FIC (External Circular 005, updated) [Colombia]
- (T) Provides for the creation, operation, and disclosure of information of investment funds, as well as the provision of services for the funds, and revokes the regulations that specifies (CVM Resolution No. 175) [Brazil]
- (U) SEC Expansion of the Names Rule [United States of America]
- (V) SEC Pay Ratio Disclosure Rule [United States of America]
- (W) ASIC RG65 Section 1013DA Disclosure Guidelines [Australia]
- (X) Circular to Licensed Corporations: Management and Disclosure of Climate-related Risks by Fund Managers [Hong Kong SAR]
- (Y) Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act (FSCMA) [Republic of Korea]
- (Z) Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) [Japan]
- (AA) Financial Markets Conduct Act [New Zealand]
- (AB) Guiding Opinions on Regulating the Asset Management Business of Financial Institutions [China]
- (AC) Guidelines on Environmental Risk Management for Asset Managers [Singapore]
- (AD) Guidelines on Sustainable and Responsible Investment Funds [Malaysia]
- (AE) Modern Slavery Act (2018) [Australia]

- (AF) Stewardship Code for all Mutual Funds and All Categories of AIFs [India]
- (AG) ADGM Sustainable Finance Regulatory Framework [United Arab Emirates]
- (AH) JSE Limited Listings Requirements [South Africa]
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Other
- (AK) Other
- (AL) Other
- (AM) Other
- (AN) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any ESG-related legislation and/or regulation during the reporting year.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

**During the reporting year, to which voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks did your organisation report?**

- (A) Asset Owners Stewardship Code [Australia]
- (B) Código Brasileiro de Stewardship [Brazil]
- (C) New Zealand Stewardship Code
- (D) Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors (Stewardship Code) [Japan]
- (E) Stewardship Code [United Kingdom]
- (F) Stewardship Framework for Institutional Investors [United States of America]
- (G) CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products [Global]
- (H) Guidelines on Funds' Names using ESG or Sustainability-related Terms [European Union]
- (I) Luxflag ESG Label [Luxembourg]
- (J) RIAA Responsible Investment Certification Program [Australia]
- (K) SRI Label [France]
- (L) ANBIMA Code of Regulation and Best Practices of Investment Funds [Brazil]
- (M) Code for Institutional Investors 2022 [Malaysia]
- (N) Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA 2) [South Africa]
- (O) Corporate Governance Guidelines [Canada]
- (P) Defined Contribution Code of Practice [United Kingdom]
- (Q) European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) Guidelines [Global]
- (R) Global ESG Benchmark for Real Assets (GRESB) [Global]
- (S) Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS+) [Global]
- (T) OECD Guidelines for MNES - Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors [Global]
- (U) UN Guiding Principles (UNGP) on Business and Human Rights [Global]
- (V) Net Zero Asset Managers (NZAM) Initiative [Global]
- (W) Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) [Global]
- (X) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD) [Global]
- (Y) The Net Zero Investment Framework (NZIF) 2.0 [Global]
- (Z) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosure (TNFD) [Global]
- (AA) Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards [Global]
- (AB) IFC Performance Standard [Global]
- (AC) International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards [Global]
- (AD) Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards [Global]
- (AE) Other
- (AF) Other
- (AG) Other
- (AH) Other
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks during the reporting year.

# ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

## ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

### REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	03	2025

## SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.2	CORE	OO 2.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

How many subsidiaries of your organisation are PRI signatories in their own rights?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

List any subsidiaries of your organisation that are PRI signatories in their own right and indicate if the responsible investment activities of the listed subsidiaries will be reported in this submission.

(1) Yes, the responsible investment activities of this subsidiary will be included in this report

(2) No, the responsible investment activities of this subsidiary will be included in their separate report

(A) Signatory name: NW1 Partners

# ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

## ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries not part of row (B), and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 5,828,100,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 7,541,269,995.00

## ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
(B) Fixed income	0%	0%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	>75%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED REAL ESTATE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 RE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed real estate	GENERAL

**Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed real estate AUM.**

(A) Retail	>0-10%
(B) Office	>10-50%
(C) Industrial	>0-10%
(D) Residential	>50-75%
(E) Hotel	0%
(F) Lodging, leisure and recreation	0%
(G) Education	>0-10%
(H) Technology or science	0%
(I) Healthcare	0%
(J) Mixed use	>0-10%
(K) Other	>10-50%

**(K) Other - Specify:**

Real Estate Debt, Alternative / Retail Warehousing.

## GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

### AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(G) Real estate (1) 0%

## STEWARDSHIP

### STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

### (6) Real estate

(A) Yes, through internal staff

(B) Yes, through service providers

(C) Yes, through external managers

(D) We do not conduct stewardship

## ESG INCORPORATION

### INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(J) Real estate



## ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

### LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>0-10%

(B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable

(C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

**Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)**

Above percentage relates to DV5 Article 8 Fund under SFDR. The Fund promotes specific Environmental and Social characteristics.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

- (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications
- (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

## SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

### SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Confidence Building Measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(J) Real estate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

### REAL ESTATE: BUILDING TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 9 - 10	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the building type of your physical real estate assets?

- (A) Standing investments
- (B) New construction
- (C) Major renovation

### REAL ESTATE: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 25	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Real estate: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your physical real estate assets by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)
- (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)
  - Select from the list:
    - (1) >0 to 10%
    - (2) >10 to 50%
    - (3) >50 to 75%
    - (4) >75%
- (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

### REAL ESTATE: MANAGEMENT TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 26	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 6–8, RE 13	PUBLIC	Real estate: Management type	GENERAL

Who manages your physical real estate assets?

- (A) Direct management by our organisation
- (B) Third-party property managers that our organisation appoints
- (C) Other investors or their third-party property managers
- (D) Tenant(s) with operational control

## SUBMISSION INFORMATION

### REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- (B) Publish as ranges

# POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

## POLICY

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
  - (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
 

Specify:

Biodiversity is a systematic sustainability issue that the real estate sector is particularly exposed to. Delancey is committed to protecting existing site biodiversity, and aim for a net gain in biodiversity.
- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

**Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?**

**(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

**(H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

**(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

(J) Guidelines on exclusions

**(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees**

Add link:

<https://delancey.com/responsible-investment/>

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

(Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

**Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?**

(A) Yes

Elaborate:

We believe Environmental, Social, and Governance factors can pose material risks and opportunities for real estate investments. This is due to ever increasing market and stakeholder expectations, regulations, and exposure to exogenous ESG risks and opportunities, and applies both at asset and fund level. Our policy explains that we integrate ESG information into our investment, development and asset management processes because we have the fiduciary duty to be responsible stewards on behalf of our clients and joint venture partners. We also look at ESG through the lens of contributing positively to the communities in which we invest and seek to find value for stakeholders through creating lasting ESG improvements at asset level (e.g., purchasing assets with poor ESG profiles with the intention of improving them).

(B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

**Which elements are covered in your organisation’s policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?**

- (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

## RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

### Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment						
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors					(7) 100%	
(C) Guidelines on social factors						
(D) Guidelines on governance factors						

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

### AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change					(1) for all of our AUM	
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights					(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues					(1) for all of our AUM	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

- (D) Real estate
  - (1) Percentage of AUM covered
    - (1) >0% to 10%
    - (2) >10% to 20%
    - (3) >20% to 30%
    - (4) >30% to 40%
    - (5) >40% to 50%
    - (6) >50% to 60%
    - (7) >60% to 70%
    - (8) >70% to 80%
    - (9) >80% to 90%
    - (10) >90% to <100%
    - (11) 100%

## GOVERNANCE

### ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent
 

Specify:

The ultimate oversight and leadership on responsible investment rests with Delancey's Executive Directors determining the commitment and direction at an organisational level.
- (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
 

Specify:

The Responsible Investment approach is overseen by Delancey's Responsible Investment Committee (RIC), which comprises senior members across the business, including the Chief Investment Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Director of Development, Director of Asset Management, Director of Property and Funds, and the Directors of Responsible Investment and ESG.
- (D) Head of department, or equivalent
  - (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

**Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?**

**(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent**

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

**Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?**

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

All staff and any individuals acting as advisers or members of any Delancey (Internal or Client) Board are required to complete a Conflicts Questionnaire, where there are disclosure obligations on any family/close relationships with public officials, government officials or PEP's and/or any particular roles as such. In respect of any engagement with public or government officials, Delancey ensures that there is a clear record maintained of any gifts, hospitality or entertaining. For specific meetings, (as required) a note is kept on the nature of the meeting and any the key material discussion points.

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?**

(A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Responsible Investment Director, ESG Director, Investment team, Development team, Asset Management team, Compliance team, Finance Team.

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

Specify:

External ESG advisers and consultants, property managers and site teams.

- o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?**

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

We have not yet set any formal responsible investment related KPIs that might be relevant for evaluating the performance of our senior executive-level staff. Some staff may naturally have RI related KPIs as part of their evaluation (e.g., our Responsible Investment and ESG Directors might have performance targets linked to how well we advance and meet our Responsible Investment commitments) but these have not been formally structured to be linked to compensation per se, rather this happens implicitly and it is not applied at senior executive level.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

**What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?**

**(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent**

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	<input type="radio"/>

## EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including governance-related recommended disclosures
  - (B) Yes, including strategy-related recommended disclosures
  - (C) Yes, including risk management-related recommended disclosures
  - (D) Yes, including applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
  - (E) None of the above
- Add link(s):

[https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed all of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

<https://bpf.org.uk/join-the-bpf/member-directory/>  
<https://www.ipf.org.uk/about-us/governance.html>

- (B) Yes, we publicly disclosed some of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (D) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

## STRATEGY

### CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

## STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

### (4) Real estate

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff  
Select from the list:  
 1
- (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable  
Select from the list:

- 2
- (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers
  - Select from the list:
    - 3
- (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities
  - Select from the list:
    - 4
- (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar
  - Select from the list:
    - 5
- (F) We do not use any of these channels

## STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
  - (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- (B) We responded to policy consultations
- (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

This activity takes mostly place at the asset level (predominantly through our platform businesses Here East, Get Living and Earls Court Development Company). During the reporting year, this took the form of a) responses to Central, Regional and Local Government consultations on emerging policies that impact the assets/business, (b) active membership of trade bodies such as the British and Scottish Property Federations, the UK Apartment Association and others who engage on behalf of the sector, c) Get Living's membership of the All Party Parliamentary Group for Housing Market and Housing Delivery.

- (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

Describe:

In the real estate space engagement with local, regional, and national policy makers is a core part of being a responsible investor and developer. During the reporting year, we (and our underlying platform businesses) have responded to local plans and GLA policy consultations, responding as part of the BPF, having discussions with politicians and policy makers to talk about sector problems and helping them with insights on the ground, and engaging with local planning authorities to discuss developments and local needs etc. Specific topics that might be relevant include e.g., Building Safety regulations, Affordable Housing, etc. For specific assets where this has taken place during the reporting year the proactive engagement at our own initiative would include meetings with politicians and officials in regional and local authorities where we have existing and future neighbourhoods, discussing insights from the ground and problems that specific sectors may be facing.

(E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

**During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?**

- (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

We have not publicly disclosed our "policy positions" as the business does not take formal positions on national policy. We record and minute all meetings held with policymakers. While we have no plans to publish these externally as they are often commercially sensitive, we will consider making such engagements available to stakeholders where appropriately requested.

## CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?**

- (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Specifically, immediate transition/regulatory risk as requirements become more stringent and local planning authorities expect clear approaches to address embodied and operational carbon; investment underperformance if issues are not priced in correctly from the onset; physical climate risk already having an impact on assets through extreme weather events becoming more common; internal climate illiteracy resulting in proper assessments not being implemented throughout asset lifecycle. On the opportunity side, there is a clear pathway to generating more value from improving the footprint and sustainability performance of assets. For climate-related opportunities within our planning horizon, we have a specific focus on energy efficiency and reducing emissions, as we believe this will make the assets more attractive overall while reducing our footprint.

- (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Physical climate risks and the magnitude of the impact and frequency of severe events occurring is heightened beyond our standard planning horizon, as are transition/regulatory risks as the UK nears key national climate goals (e.g. Net Zero by 2050). We see opportunities beyond our standard planning horizon from the active implementation of climate prop tech and nature-based solutions, and how the overall economy will shift towards assets with less emissions intensive profiles. Those who are innovative and quick to respond to the shift in the markets are likely to see the highest growth in the long run, while failure to prepare can be costly both from a reputational and performance stand point.

- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?**

**● (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities**

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

It affects the entire asset lifecycle and investment strategy structures. Physical climate related risks are a significant risk area that needs to be properly assessed for all assets, irrespective of type. Similarly, from a transition risk point of view, engagement with local authorities about planning requirements is increasingly hinging on how climate related risks and opportunities have been taken into account in the design. We also see it in our investment strategies where we are increasingly investing in assets that we can improve from a climate-impact point of view and therefore drive value and occupancy while also contributing positively to the decarbonisation of the economy. There is increasing investor demand for investment products that address these risks and capitalise on the opportunities in an innovative way. For financial planning it is affecting our internal resourcing and budgets through increasingly complex climate risk assessments, reporting, and skills requirements.

- (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?**

- (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

We have assessed the physical climate risk exposure to the UK's most prevalent and widely considered physical climate hazards (i.e. Flood, Temperature Rise, Water Stress, and Wildfire) using the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP), specifically RCP8.5 for the vast majority of our assets. During the reporting period, we onboarded a new physical climate risk tool, Climate X, to further enhance the risk exposure assessment. The Climate X tool allows us to analyse physical climate risk of the assets and portfolio under different future scenarios (RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5), over different time periods (2030, 2035, 2040, 2045, 2050, 2060, 2070, 2080, 2090, 2100), and with the option of applying river and coastal flood defences. We applied this to one of our pension mandate funds and will seek to expand this to cover other client funds too.

Our ESG data management platform allows us to analyse all asset's alignment with the CRREM 1.5 and 2.0 Degree Celsius Pathway, with the aim to support the development of long-term science-based reduction targets. Through the CRREM model, we are able to identify 'stranded' assets which helps us prioritise asset decarbonisation plans and further investigations.

- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

**Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?**

**(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

Physical climate risk analysis is front loaded to the deal screening and due diligence stage before acquisition. Our teams instruct building condition surveys, flood risk assessments, geo-environmental and geo-technical assessments to assess the assets' exposure to physical risks and any existing mitigation in place. We also undertake CRREM analysis to evaluate the transition risk exposure in more depth in order to help prioritise asset level actions and setting Sustainability Action Plans accordingly. We will do this pre-investment if we have 12months of energy consumption data, otherwise we use benchmarked data to get an estimation of the risk. For standing assets, our ESG data management tool automatically tracks CRREM over time. We measure, monitor, and report on the Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions associated with the assets. The combination of assessing physical climate risk exposure, climate transition risk exposure, and actual emissions profile of the assets helps build a clearer and more complete picture of the risk exposure. This is done by our internal teams with support from specialist consultants.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Risks identified during acquisition are highlighted to our property managers and site teams to ensure awareness, and that there is focus on ensuring management actions mitigate risks as far as possible during normal operations. Consideration of further mitigation over the mid to longer terms is included in the business plan and pursued by the asset management team. We have updated our internal corporate Risk Register to formally include the management of both physical and transition related risks. In 2024, we onboarded a new risk management software that improves the monitoring and reporting of risks, and increases the visibility of these risks within the business.

**(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

If high physical climate risk exposure or inefficiencies from an emissions/energy point of view are identified this may affect the investment decision since it may alter the overall cost/return assumptions of the investment. High or medium risk exposure will result in a further investigation into site-specific characteristics (e.g., drainage strategy, flood barriers, etc.) and if any improvements are identified the interventions will be managed through Sustainability Action Plans to make the asset either more efficient (e.g., addressing poor insulation, installing renewable energy solutions, replacing gas boilers, etc) or more resilient (e.g. installation of attenuation or shading solutions). For development and refurbishment assets, these actions would be picked up in the Sustainable Development Briefs for the asset which are implemented by our Development team and relevant external project team members.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Either Sustainability Action Plans and/or Sustainable Development Briefs are implemented post acquisition. These documents form part of annual business plans which are reviewed through out the year. During the reporting period, we were in the process of updating our internal corporate Risk Register to formally include the management of both physical and transition related risks, increasing the visibility of these risks within the business, and can report on this update in the next reporting period.

- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

- (A) Exposure to physical risk**
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used**
      - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
      - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (B) Exposure to transition risk**
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used
    - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
    - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**
  - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
    - [https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)
- (C) Internal carbon price
- (D) Total carbon emissions**
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used
    - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
    - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**
  - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
    - [https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)
- (E) Weighted average carbon intensity**
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used**
      - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
      - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (F) Avoided emissions
- (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- (J) Other metrics or variables
- (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

- (A) Scope 1 emissions**
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed
  - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)

(B) Scope 2 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
- (1) Metric disclosed
  - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)

(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
- (1) Metric disclosed
  - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

[https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey\\_Sustainability-Report-2024\\_20Dec\\_v1c-o.pdf](https://delancey.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/Delancey_Sustainability-Report-2024_20Dec_v1c-o.pdf)

- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

## SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) Other international framework(s)

Specify:

GRESB, TCFD recommendations, Natural England's Biodiversity Metric.

- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?**

- (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

**Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?**

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

# REAL ESTATE (RE)

## POLICY

### INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 21, OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail and education) and geography
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach to new construction
- (C) Guidelines on our ESG approach to major renovations
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- (E) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value creation efforts
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party property managers
- (J) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (K) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- (L) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

## FUNDRAISING

### COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

# PRE-INVESTMENT

## MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	OO 21	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

- (A) We assessed ESG materiality for each property, as each case is unique
  - Select from dropdown list:
    - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
      - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
      - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
    - (B) We performed a mix of property level and property type or category level ESG materiality analysis
    - (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the property type or category level only
    - (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We used green building certifications to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (I) We engaged with the existing owners and/or managers (or developers for new properties) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (J) Other

## DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence your selection of real estate investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments**
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential real estate investments?

- (A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments

- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments**
- (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (D) We conduct site visits**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analysis and/or engagement**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments**
- (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal**  
 Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential real estate investments

# SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

## SELECTION PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process of third-party property managers	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include material ESG factors in all of your selections of third-party property managers?

- (A) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their overall approach to material ESG factors
- (B) We requested track records and examples from potential third-party property managers on their management of material ESG factors
- (C) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders
- (D) We requested documentation from potential third-party property managers on their responsible procurement practices, including responsibilities, approach and incentives
- (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential third-party property managers
- (F) Other
- (G) We did not include material ESG factors in our selection of third-party property managers

## APPOINTMENT PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process of third-party property managers	1, 4

How did you include material ESG factors when appointing your current third-party property managers?

- (A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (C) We set clear targets on material ESG factors  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (D) We set incentives related to targets on material ESG factors

- (E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers**
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (F) Other
- (G) We did not include material ESG factors in the appointment of third-party property managers

## MONITORING PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process of third-party property managers	1, 4

### How do you include material ESG factors when monitoring current third-party property managers?

- (A) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material environmental factors**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (B) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material social factors**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (C) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material governance factors**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (E) We require formal reporting at least yearly**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (F) We have discussions about material ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders at least yearly
- (G) We conduct a performance review of third-party property managers against targets on material ESG factors and/or a financial incentive structure linked to material ESG factors
- (H) We have internal or external parties conduct site visits at least yearly**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our third-party property managers
  - (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers**
  - (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not include material ESG factors in the monitoring of third-party property managers

# CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT

## CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 9	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Construction requirements	1

What ESG requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?

- (A) We require the management of waste by diverting materials (e.g. from construction and demolition, reusable vegetation, rocks and soil) from disposal
- (B) We require the minimisation of light and noise pollution that would affect the surrounding community
- (C) We require the performance of an environmental and social site impact assessment
- (D) We require the protection of the air quality during construction
- (E) We require the protection and restoration of the habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development
- (F) We require the protection of surface water, groundwater and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants
- (G) We require constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site
- (H) We require engagement with local communities and other stakeholders during the design and/or planning process
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not have ESG requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

## MINIMUM BUILDING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 10	CORE	OO 24	N/A	PUBLIC	Minimum building requirements	1

What minimum building requirements do you have in place for development projects and major renovations?

- (A) We require the implementation of the latest available metering and internet of things (IoT) technology  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all development projects and major renovations
  - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
  - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (B) We require the building to be able to obtain a recognised green and/or healthy building certification for new buildings  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all development projects and major renovations
  - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
  - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (C) We require the use of certified (or labelled) sustainable building materials  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all development projects and major renovations
  - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
  - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (D) We require the installation of renewable energy technologies where feasible  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all development projects and major renovations

- (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
- (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net-zero carbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction
- (F) **We require water conservation measures**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) **for all development projects and major renovations**
  - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
  - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (G) **We require common health and well-being measures for occupants**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) **for all development projects and major renovations**
  - (2) for a majority of our development projects and major renovations
  - (3) for a minority of our development projects and major renovations
- (H) Other
  - (I) We do not have minimum building requirements in place for development projects and major renovations

## POST-INVESTMENT

### MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	OO 21	RE 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your real estate investments?**

- (A) **Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors**  
Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%
  - (3) >50 to 75%
  - (4) >75 to 95%
  - (5) **>95%**
- (B) **Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors**  
Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%
  - (3) **>50 to 75%**
  - (4) >75 to 95%
  - (5) >95%
- (C) **Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors**  
Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:
  - (1) >0 to 10%
  - (2) >10 to 50%
  - (3) >50 to 75%
  - (4) >75 to 95%
  - (5) **>95%**
- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11.1	PLUS	RE 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**Provide examples of KPIs on material ESG factors you tracked across your real estate investments during the reporting year.**

(A) ESG KPI #1

EPC by Rating & EPC by Floor Area

(B) ESG KPI #2

Energy and water consumption on an absolute and like for like basis

(C) ESG KPI #3

Waste generated in tonnes

(D) ESG KPI #4

Scope 1, 2 & 3 emissions

(E) ESG KPI #5

Landlord procured renewable energy as a percentage of consumption

(F) ESG KPI #6

Numbers of attendees at community events

(G) ESG KPI #7

Monies provided to community funds

(H) ESG KPI #8

Key Supplier Protocols

(I) ESG KPI #9

Charitable Donations & Staff Volunteering

(J) ESG KPI #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?**

**(A) Energy consumption**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets**
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(B) Water consumption**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets**
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(C) Waste production**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets
- (D) Other
- (E) We did not collect ESG building performance data for our real estate assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your real estate investments?**

**(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(D) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures

**(E) We collaborate and engage with our third-party property managers and/or tenants to develop action plans**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

**(G) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(H) Other

- (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13.1	PLUS	RE 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Describe up to two processes you put in place during the reporting year to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors.**

**(A) Process one**

Whilst we do not have formal negative (exclusionary) screens in place for our Funds, some of our clients have Exclusions Criteria that we apply and adhere to for their investments. However during the year we have provided guidelines for all funds under management to strengthen our process and the considerations raised need to be evaluated within the investment lifecycle and before accepting new contractual agreements. The chief considerations capture controversial sector and business involvement which amongst others includes exposure to fossil fuels, controversial weapons and human rights.

**(B) Process two**

A review of heating and cooling engineering operations at one asset identified plant operating at a sub-optimal run time schedule and that some pumps/motors were not operating as designed in an automatic manner. Consequently, all pumps, motors and air handling units were independently assessed, and time schedules implemented to align with core operating business hours across the estate. Additionally, an outside air temperature seasonal set point strategy was instigated for both heating and cooling operations. Further upgrades were implemented to the building management system (BMS), facilitating greater controls and integration of systems for it to become a more connected/smart building. Further a LED lighting replacement programme was initiated, with 360 out of 1,124 fittings replaced in 2023, and the remaining fittings scheduled to be completed by September 2024. The changes to the plant and the LED replacement initiative led to substantial changes in the consumption of heating and cooling and a modest reduction in electricity in 2023.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period?**

**(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

**(B) We review our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments**
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

**(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our real estate investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities**

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments**
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

**(D) Other**

- (E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 15	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Describe how you ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in the real estate investments where you hold a minority stake.**

Responsible Investment is ingrained within Delancey and has been systemised within all our business processes across the asset lifecycle reflecting the importance of these issues. This approach is adhered regardless of the stake holding. Disciplined stock selection utilising our skill and experience to consider the opportunity underpinned by the core strategy of targeting high quality assets or poorer quality assets combined with an active improvement plan. The most opportune influence is at point of investment so key is the initial screening. Consequently, despite the minority stake, we would approach consideration of the investment in no different way to a majority stake. We focus on our understanding of the other stakeholders and who is taking responsibility for the asset management, their experience and business plan, their reporting obligations and willingness to collaborate.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 16	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

**Describe how your ESG action plans are currently defined, implemented and monitored throughout the investment period.**

All investments are required to go through an ESG assessment during the due diligence and the identified risks and opportunities feeds into consideration of the decision at investment committee. The ESG assessment is an early version of the Sustainability Action Plan during our management of the investment. Each asset is required to have an action plan in place. This varies in practice due to the varying nature of the assets. Whilst varying sectors and asset types are all exposed to similar sustainability risks and opportunities, their materiality and their priority varies, and therefore the action plans take into account their operational and asset-specific characteristics. The action plan can take the form of a sustainable refurbishment or development brief. Monitoring of plans for investment assets takes place each quarter with more detailed updates in the formalising of annual business plans and target setting. The refurbishment or development brief is structured around review after each of the RIBA Work stages. Post practical completion, the asset then would have a Sustainability Action Plan created.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

**What proportion of your real estate assets has obtained a green or sustainable building certification?**

- (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- (B) A majority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

## STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stakeholder engagement	1, 2

### How does your third-party property manager(s) engage with tenants?

- (A) They engage with real estate tenants on energy, water consumption and/or waste production  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (B) They engage with real estate tenants by organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (C) They engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our buildings or properties
  - (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
  - (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties
- (D) They engage with real estate tenants by identifying collaboration opportunities that support targets related to material ESG factors
- (E) They engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades
- (F) Other
- (G) Our third-party property manager(s) do not engage with tenants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 19	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stakeholder engagement	1, 2

### During the reporting year, how did you or the organisations operating on your behalf engage with the local community above and beyond what is required by relevant regulations for asset design, use and/or repurposing?

In 2023, we developed a social strategy for a London development project which was informed and supported by active engagement and consultation in the local community. Assisted by social value consultants, our teams commenced stakeholder mapping and conducted a community listening exercise to identify and analyse local needs in relation to social value. The project team used the findings of the listening exercise and the public consultations conducted in 2023 and in 2024 to inform the strategy. The stakeholder engagement exercises, alongside engagement with key Council officers and assessment of the London Borough of Islington's (LBI) objectives, led to the team identifying existing and future priorities and the creation of a flexible framework which now forms part of the asset's initial Social Value Plan. The Plan will focus on opportunities during construction, then through the types of facilities within the design (primarily via the affordable workspace), in the creation and curation of the facilities, and through financial contributions.

Key deliverables planned include a Schools Engagement programme, a 'nutty scientists' project and use of site hoarding for a community initiative – most likely a schools project. We are committing to work with Leading Inclusive Futures through Technology (LIFT), a programme that aims to help residents into local jobs in the knowledge economy (tech, digital, sciences, and creative production), and to support businesses and start-ups within these sectors. The initiative has ensured that local social value aspects were included in the design process and included in the planning application. Positive feedback was received from LBI prior to the planning application submission, with the Council regarding our approach to be best practice. Aspects of our approach are informing LBI's framework to be included in the updated Local Plan.

## EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 20	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

### During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD or GRESB**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments**
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment of the property(s)**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments**
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold**  
Select from dropdown list:
  - (1) for all of our real estate investments
  - (2) for a majority of our real estate investments**
  - (3) for a minority of our real estate investments
- (G) Other
- (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

## DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 21	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We reported through a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that serious ESG incidents were reported
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

# CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

## CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

### APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

#### How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) **Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report**
- (E) **Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI**
- (F) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

## INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

#### Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) **Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent**
  - Sections of PRI report reviewed
    - (1) **the entire report**
    - (2) selected sections of the report
  - (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year